

On the kernel and rank of \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Preparata-like and Kerdock-like codes*

J. Borges, K.T. Phelps[†], J. Rifà[‡], V.A. Zinoviev[§]

July 1, 2002

Abstract

We say that a binary code of length n is additive if it is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$, where the quaternary coordinates are transformed to binary by means of the usual Gray map and hence $\alpha + 2\beta = n$.

In this paper we prove that any additive extended Preparata-like code always verifies $\alpha = 0$, i.e. it is always a \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear code. Moreover, we compute the rank and the dimension of the kernel of such Preparata-like codes and also the rank and the kernel of the \mathbb{Z}_4 -dual of these codes, i.e. the \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Kerdock-like codes.

*Research partially supported by Spanish CICYT Grant TIC2000-0739-c04-01, by Catalan DURSI Grant 2001SGR 00219 and also by Ministerio de educación, cultura y deporte Grant SAB 2000-0058.

[†]K.T. Phelps is with the Discrete & Statistical Sciences, Auburn University, Auburn, Al 36849-5307. USA. E-mail: phelpkt@dms.auburn.edu.

[‡]J. Borges and J. Rifà are with the Computer Science Department, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193-Bellaterra, Spain. E-mail: {joaquim.borges, josep.rifa}@uab.es.

[§]V.A. Zinoviev is with the Institute for Problems of Information Transmission of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Bol'shoi Karetnyi per. 19, GSP-4, Moscow, 101447, Russia. E-mail: zinov@iitp.ru.

1 Introduction.

Let \mathbb{F}^n denote the set of all binary vectors of length n . As usual $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the *Hamming distance* and $\text{wt}(\cdot)$ denotes the *Hamming weight*. Let e_i denote the vector of weight one with the nonzero coordinate at the i -th position for $i = 1, \dots, n$. For any vector $v \in \mathbb{F}^n$ we denote by $\text{supp}(v)$ the set of coordinate positions in which v has nonzero entries.

Let \star be a binary operation such that (\mathbb{F}^n, \star) is a translation-invariant Abelian group, that is, a group with the property that

$$d(x \star v, x \star u) = d(v, u), \quad \forall x, v, u \in \mathbb{F}^n. \quad (1)$$

As can be seen in [2], $(\mathbb{F}^n, \star) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta, +)$ where $\alpha + 2\beta = n$ and ‘+’ is the usual addition modulo 2 for the \mathbb{Z}_2 coordinates and modulo 4 for the \mathbb{Z}_4 coordinates. An isomorphism between $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$ and \mathbb{F}^n is given by the map

$$\phi(x_1, \dots, x_\alpha \mid y_1, \dots, y_\beta) = (x_1, \dots, x_\alpha \mid \varphi(y_1), \dots, \varphi(y_\beta)),$$

where $\varphi(0) = (0, 0)$, $\varphi(1) = (0, 1)$, $\varphi(2) = (1, 1)$ and $\varphi(3) = (1, 0)$ is the usual Gray map from \mathbb{Z}_4 onto \mathbb{Z}_2^2 . Now, it is clear that

$$x \star y = \phi(\phi^{-1}(x) + \phi^{-1}(y)), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{F}^n.$$

Let x^{-1} denote the inverse element of x , that is, the unique vector x^{-1} such that $x \star x^{-1} = \mathbf{0}$, where $\mathbf{0}$ denotes the all zero vector. Note the following property.

Lemma 1.1 *For any vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{F}^n$ we have*

$$d(x, y) = \text{wt}(x^{-1} \star y) = \text{wt}(x \star y^{-1}).$$

Proof: From equation (1) we have

$$d(x, y) = d(x^{-1} \star x, x^{-1} \star y) = d(\mathbf{0}, x^{-1} \star y) = \text{wt}(x^{-1} \star y)$$

or

$$d(x, y) = d(y^{-1} \star x, y^{-1} \star y) = d(y^{-1} \star x, \mathbf{0}) = \text{wt}(y^{-1} \star x).$$

■

A (*binary*) *additive code* (see [2, 4]) (D, \star) of length $n = \alpha + 2\beta$ is a subgroup of (\mathbb{F}^n, \star) . An additive code is a particular case of the more general class of *translation-invariant propelinear codes* [2, 13]. Note that the case $\beta = 0$ corresponds to a linear code and the case $\alpha = 0$ corresponds to a \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear code. In this last case (see [13]) (D, \star) is a group, where each codeword x is associated with a coordinate permutation $\pi_x \in \mathcal{S}_n$ such that $x \star y = x + \pi_x(y)$ for any $y \in D$.

Define $D_\pi = \{z \in D \mid \pi_z = \pi\}$ and let $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$ be the permutation $\sigma = (a_1 a'_1) \cdots (a_\beta a'_\beta)$ which is the product of all transpositions permuting the two binary coordinates, corresponding to each \mathbb{Z}_4 coordinate. In this paper we will refer to the group of binary coordinate permutations $\pi : D \rightarrow D$ as $\text{aut}(D)$, the automorphism group of the code.

In order to differentiate codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 from codes over \mathbb{Z}_2 we use letters $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{K}$ and so on for the codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 . For the corresponding codes over \mathbb{Z}_2 , obtained by the Gray map, we use the corresponding letters $C = \phi(\mathcal{C}), D = \phi(\mathcal{D}), H = \phi(\mathcal{H}), P = \phi(\mathcal{P}), K = \phi(\mathcal{K})$, and so on.

We use also $(x, y)_4$ to denote the inner product of vectors over \mathbb{Z}_4 , and $x \bullet y$ to denote the componentwise product of two or more such vectors. We denote by $\mathbf{1}$ the vector over \mathbb{Z}_4 with all the coordinates 1, and by $\mathbf{1}_2$ the vector over \mathbb{Z}_2 with all the coordinates 1. We also denote by $\mathbf{2}$ the vector over \mathbb{Z}_4 such that $\phi(\mathbf{2}) = \mathbf{1}_2$.

Recall that the rank of any code D is the dimension of the linear span of D , which we denote here $\langle D \rangle$, and the kernel of D , denoted here by $\ker(D)$, is defined by $\ker(D) = \{v \in D \mid v + D = D\}$.

In this paper we denote by P a binary extended \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Preparata-like code with parameters $(n + 1, d, M) = (2^{2m}, 6, 2^{n-4m})$, obtained by the Gray

map from an arbitrary linear Preparata-like code \mathcal{P} over \mathbb{Z}_4 [8]. The corresponding binary code with $d = 5$, obtained from P by deleting any position, is denoted by P^* . Denote by K a binary extended \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Kerdock-like code with parameters $(n + 1 = 2^{2m}, (n + 1)/2 - \sqrt{n + 1}/2, 2^{4m})$, obtained by the Gray map from \mathcal{K} , the \mathbb{Z}_4 -dual of \mathcal{P} :

$$\mathcal{K} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_4^{(n+1)/2} : (x, p)_4 = 0, \forall p \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

We denote by C an extended binary perfect \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear code with parameters $(n + 1 = 2^{2m}, d = 4, M = 2^{n-2m-1})$ and by H its \mathbb{Z}_4 -dual, a binary Hadamard code with parameters $(n + 1 = 2^{2m}, d = (n + 1)/2, M = 2(n + 1))$.

Preparata-like codes are not linear. Concerning to their possible algebraic structure, we remark that the original Preparata codes [12] have a group propelinear structure [13] and the extended Preparata-like codes defined in [8] are \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear and so, according to [13], they are propelinear codes.

In this paper we prove the nonexistence of extended Preparata-like codes with other additive structures different of the \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear ones. Given a Preparata-like code P^* , it is well known [17] that the code C^* obtained as the union of P^* and the vectors at maximum distance from P^* is a perfect single error correcting code or *1-perfect code*. If P^* is a standard Preparata code, then C^* is linear i.e. a Hamming code. If P is an extended Preparata-like code, then C is an extended 1-perfect code. We show that if P is \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear, then C is also \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear. This allows us to compute the ranks and kernels of P and its \mathbb{Z}_4 -dual, the Kerdock-like code K .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give the basic definitions and properties of Preparata-like codes. In Section 3 we give the main result of the paper: any additive Preparata-like code is \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear. In Section 4 we compute the rank of any \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Preparata-like code P and its \mathbb{Z}_4 -dual Kerdock-like code K . In Section 5 we find the kernels of both these codes. Note that the kernel of known \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Preparata-like codes given in

[8] have been considered also in [9]. Finally, in Section 6 we point out some conclusions.

2 Preparata-like codes.

A *Preparata-like code* P^* has length $n = 2^{2m} - 1$ ($m \geq 2$), minimum distance $d = 5$ and $|P^*| = M = 2^{n+1-4m}$ codewords. Such a code satisfies the Johnson bound and therefore it is nearly perfect [7, 15] and strongly uniformly packed and so, completely regular [15] (in particular, distance invariant). If we assume P^* contains the zero codeword, then the codewords of weight 5 form a $2 - (n, 5, \lambda)$ -design [14] with $\lambda = (n - 3)/3$.

Let P be an extended Preparata-like code. From [15] we know that the binary all one vector $\mathbf{1}_2$ belongs to P and, therefore, to P^* .

Define $P_i^* = \{c \in \mathbb{F}^n \mid d(c, P^*) = i\}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and $P_i = \{c \in \mathbb{F}^n \mid d(c, P) = i\}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$. Then we have:

Proposition 2.1 ([17]) *Let P^* be any Preparata-like code and let $C^* = P^* \cup P_3^*$, then C^* is a 1-perfect code.*

Proof: We give our own proof which differs from the one given in [17]. Since P^* is a 2-error-correcting quasi-perfect code, we have that

$$|P_3^*| = |F^n| - |P^*| - |P_1^*| - |P_2^*| = 2^n - |P^*|(1+n+n(n-1)/2) = |P^*|(2^{2m-1} - 1)$$

Thus $|C^*| = |P^*| + |P_3^*| = |P^*| \cdot 2^{2m-1} = 2^{n-2m}$, which is the correct number of codewords of a 1-perfect code of length $n = 2^{2m} - 1$. It remains to prove that the covering radius of C^* is equal to 1. Let $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$. If $d(x, P^*) = 0$ or $d(x, P^*) = 3$, then $x \in C^*$. If $d(x, P^*) = 1$, then $d(x, C^*) = 1$. Assume that $d(x, P^*) = 2$. Since P^* is distance invariant [15], we may assume, without loss of generality, that x has weight 2. The number of codewords of weight 5 in P^* , containing the support of x , is equal to $\lambda = (n - 3)/3$ (these vectors

have only the two nonzero coordinates of x in common). Hence the support J of all these codewords has $n - 1$ coordinates. Let i be the coordinate position not in J and consider the vector $y = x + e_i$. It is clear that $d(y, P^*) = 3$, so $y \in C^*$ and $d(x, C^*) = 1$. ■

Proposition 2.2 *Let P^* be any Preparata-like code and let $C^* = P^* \cup P_3^*$. Then the rank of P^* is equal to the rank of C^* .*

Proof: Since $P^* \subset C^*$ we have that $\langle P^* \rangle \subset \langle C^* \rangle$. Let x be a codeword of C^* not in P^* , then $d(x, P^*) = 3$ and without loss of generality we may assume that x has weight 3 because P^* is distance invariant. If we prove that $x \in \langle P^* \rangle$, then we will have that $\langle C^* \rangle \subset \langle P^* \rangle$ and hence $\langle C^* \rangle = \langle P^* \rangle$. Therefore we only have to show that given a codeword x of weight 3, this codeword can be obtained as a linear combination of codewords of P^* . Let $x = e_i + e_j + e_k$ be such a codeword. Consider, as in the proof of Proposition 2.1, the set $\{c_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^\lambda$ of $\lambda = (n - 3)/3$ codewords of weight 5 in P^* that have the coordinates i and j in their support. Let $J = \cup_{\ell=1}^\lambda \text{supp}(c_\ell)$. Then, taking into account that λ is even and $\mathbf{1}_2 \in P^*$, we obtain that $\sum_{\ell=1}^\lambda c_\ell + \mathbf{1}_2 = x$. ■

Clearly, an extended Preparata-like code P has minimum weight 6 and covering radius 4.

Lemma 2.3 ([17]) *Let P be any extended Preparata-like code. Then the code $P \cup P_4$ is an extended 1-perfect code.*

Proof: As before, let $C^* = P^* \cup P_3^*$, then it is clear that the extended code of C^* is $C = P \cup P_4$, because P_4 is the extension of P_3^* . ■

All known Preparata-like codes induce a partition of C^* by taking appropriate translates (see [1, 6, 8, 17]). It is possible to generalize this partition to the case when P^* is a propelinear code. In this last case there is a partition which consists of orbits in C^* under the action of P^* .

Proposition 2.4 *If P is an additive extended Preparata-like code, then $C = P \cup P_4$ is partitioned into cosets of P of weight four.*

Proof: Let $D = P \star w$ be a coset of P with minimum weight 4. As it follows from [2], any vector v of D is at distance 4 from P , hence $v \in C$. In the opposite side, if E is a coset with minimum weight less than 4, any vector z in E is at distance less than 4 from P , therefore $z \notin C$. ■

The rank of any code is equal to the rank of the extended code. Hence, by Proposition 2.2 we have that the ranks of P^* , P , C^* and C are all equal.

3 Any additive Preparata-like code is \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear.

For any (extended) Preparata-like code P , let C be the corresponding (extended) 1-perfect code, i.e. $C = P \cup P_4$.

Lemma 3.1 *Let P be an additive extended Preparata-like code and let $e \in \mathbb{F}^n$ be a vector such that $d(e, P) = 4$. Then $d(e^{-1}, P) = 4$.*

Proof: Since (P, \star) is a group we know that $e^{-1} \notin P$. Suppose that $d(e^{-1}, P) < 4$. That is, there should be a codeword $x \in P$ such that $d(e^{-1}, x) < 4$. But, by Lemma 1.1, we would have $\text{wt}(e \star x) < 4$ and, again by Lemma 1.1, $d(e, x^{-1}) < 4$ implying that $d(e, P) < 4$, getting a contradiction. ■

Proposition 3.2 *If P is an additive code then so is $C = P \cup P_4$.*

Proof: Assume that P is an additive code. By Proposition 2.4, C is the union of P with cosets of P , each one with minimum weight 4. Given any word $x \in C$, we have that $x = c \star e$ for some $c \in P$ and for some vector e of weight 4 or 0. In any case, by Lemma 3.1, the inverse element $x^{-1} = c^{-1} \star e^{-1}$ of x is also in C . The identity element (the all zero vector) is in C too.

Therefore we only have to show that for any given $x, y \in C$, the element $x \star y \in C$ belongs to C also. Let $x = c \star e$ and $y = c' \star e'$ where $c, c' \in P$ and e and e' be vectors such that $\text{wt}(e), \text{wt}(e') \in \{0, 4\}$, . Thus,

$$x \star y = c \star e \star c' \star e' = d \star e \star e',$$

where $d = c \star c' \in P$. Denote $a = d \star e \star e'$. If e or e' (or both) has weight 0, then it is clear that $a \in C$. Assume now that $\text{wt}(e) = \text{wt}(e') = 4$ and consider the distance $d(a, P)$ (which should be even). Since the covering radius of P is 4, we have that $d(a, P) \in \{0, 2, 4\}$. For the case $d(a, P) \in \{0, 4\}$ it follows directly that $a \in C$. For the case $d(a, P) = 2$, there would exist $b \in P$ such that $d(a, b) = 2$, implying by Lemma 1.1 that $\text{wt}(a \star b^{-1}) = 2$, that is, $\text{wt}(d \star e \star e' \star b^{-1}) = 2$. If we denote now $d' = d \star b^{-1} \in P$, we would have $\text{wt}(d' \star e \star e') = 2$, implying again by Lemma 1.1 that $d(d' \star e', e^{-1}) = 2$. Clearly $d' \star e' \in C$ and, by Lemma 3.1, e^{-1} is also in C . Since the minimum distance of C is equal to 4, we obtain a contradiction. ■

Now, we are going to prove that any additive Preparata-like code P should be \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear.

Lemma 3.3 *Let P^* be an additive Preparata-like code of length $n = \alpha + 2\beta$ which is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$, for some $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Then $\alpha \geq 3$.*

Proof: Clearly, $C^* = P^* \cup P_3^*$ should be a 1-perfect additive code because C can be obtained from $C = P \cup P_4$ by deleting a \mathbb{Z}_2 coordinate. Consider the subcode D of C formed by all the codewords with zeroes in all the \mathbb{Z}_4 coordinates. This subcode D restricted to the \mathbb{Z}_2 coordinates is a 1-perfect code of length $\alpha = 2^r - 1$ for some $r \geq 2$ (see [2]). ■

Lemma 3.4 *Let P^* be an additive Preparata-like code of length $n = \alpha + 2\beta$ which is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$ for some $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Denote by X the set of \mathbb{Z}_2 coordinates and fix any two such coordinates $i, j \in X$. Let $V \subset P^*$ be the*

set of all codewords of P^* of weight 5 containing i and j in their supports. Then

(i) For any pair of codewords $x, y \in V$ we have that $\text{supp}(x) \cap \text{supp}(y) = \{i, j\}$, the set V has a cardinality $|V| = (n - 3)/3$, and the union of the supports of all the codewords of V is $\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{k\}$ for some $k \in X \setminus \{i, j\}$.

(ii) For any $x \in V$ there are two possibilities: either $|\text{supp}(x) \cap X| \in \{3, 5\}$ and $x = x^{-1}$, or $|\text{supp}(x) \cap X| = 2$ and $x \star x$ has weight 6.

Proof: (i) We know from [14] that the set of codewords of P^* of weight 5 form a $2 - (n, 5, (n - 3)/3)$ -design. For any $x, y \in V$ the condition $|\text{supp}(x) \cap \text{supp}(y)| > 2$ is impossible because we would have $d(x, y) < 5$ and the minimum distance of P^* is 5. This implies that $|V| = (n - 3)/3$, and therefore

$$\left| \bigcup_{x \in V} \text{supp}(x) \right| = 3 \frac{n - 3}{3} + 2 = n - 1.$$

Let k be the coordinate which is not in the support of x for any $x \in V$, i.e. $k = \text{supp}(P^*) \setminus \text{supp}(V)$. We have to show that k belongs to X . In contrary, assume that $k \notin X$. This means that there is some k' which is the inverse coordinate of k such that $e_{k'} = e_k^{-1}$. Now let $y \in V$ be a vector such that $k' \in \text{supp}(y)$. Using that for any $x \in V$ the inverse x^{-1} also belongs to V , we obtain a contradiction because $y^{-1} \in V$ and $k \in \text{supp}(y^{-1})$.

(ii) If $|\text{supp}(x) \cap X| = 5$, then it is clear that $x = x^{-1}$ (as $x \star x = x + x = \mathbf{0}$). The condition $|\text{supp}(x) \cap X| = 4$ is impossible because $x \star x$ would have weight 2. If $|\text{supp}(x) \cap X| = 3$, then the two nonzero coordinates of x not in X must be inverses (and $x = x^{-1}$), otherwise $x \star x$ would have weight 4. Finally, if $|\text{supp}(x) \cap X| = 2$, then $x \star x \neq \mathbf{0}$ and hence $x \star x$ should have weight 6. ■

Lemma 3.5 *A (non-extended) Preparata-like code P^* cannot be additive.*

Proof: We know that a Preparata-like code cannot be linear (see [7]). Since the length of P^* is odd it cannot be \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear. Assume that P^* is an additive code, which is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$, where $\alpha, \beta > 0$.

As in the previous lemma, let X be the set of \mathbb{Z}_2 coordinates. Let $i, j \in X$ and define V and k as before. Then we obtain that

$$V = \{x_1, \dots, x_p\} \cup \{y_1, y_1^{-1}, \dots, y_q, y_q^{-1}\},$$

where $|\text{supp}(x_i) \cap X| \in \{3, 5\}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, p$ and where $|\text{supp}(y_j) \cap X| = |\text{supp}(y_j^{-1} \cap X)| = 2$ for all $j = 1, \dots, q$. Since $|V| = (n - 3)/3 = p + 2q$, we note, that p is even because so is $(n - 3)/3$.

Let $z = x_1 \star \dots \star x_p \star y_1 \star y_1^{-1} \star \dots \star y_q \star y_q^{-1}$. By assumption z is a codeword of P^* . It is easy to see that $\text{supp}(z) = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i, j, k\}$. But we know from [15] that the all ones vector $\mathbf{1}_2$ is also in P^* . Therefore we get a contradiction because $d(z, \mathbf{1}_2) = 3$ and the minimum distance of P^* is 5. ■

Theorem 3.6 *Let P be an extended additive Preparata-like code and let $K = \phi(\mathcal{P}^\perp)$ be the corresponding extended additive Kerdock-like code. Then P and K are both \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear.*

Proof: Assume that P is an additive but not \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear code. Puncturing a \mathbb{Z}_2 coordinate would give an additive (non-extended) Preparata-like code P^* which is impossible by the previous lemma.

Now assume that the Kerdock code K is additive, i.e. K is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$ for some α and β . Then according to [13] its \mathbb{Z}_4 -dual, which is a Preparata-like code P , should be also additive with the same parameters α and β . But this is impossible by the lemma above. Hence, this last code P should be \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear. So, we conclude that K is also \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear. ■

Let q_i denote the quaternary vector in $\mathbb{Z}_4^{2^{2m-1}}$ with only one nonzero coordinate at the i -th position, the value of which is 2. In other words, $\phi(q_i)$

is a binary vector in $\mathbb{Z}_2^{2^{2m}}$ with two nonzero positions, corresponding to the i -th quaternary position.

As the natural extension of Proposition 2.4, now we can give the evident partition of the extended \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear 1-perfect code C into the translates of the corresponding \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Preparata-like code P .

Theorem 3.7 *Let P be a \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear extended Preparata-like code of length $n + 1 = 2^{2m}$, and let $C = P \cup P_4$ be the corresponding extended 1-perfect \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear code. Then for any integer $i = 1, 2, \dots, (n + 1)/2$, the code C is partitioned into the cosets of P of weight 4 as follows:*

$$C = \bigcup_{j=1}^{(n+1)/2} \{P + \phi(q_i + q_j)\}$$

(recall that “+” means addition modulo 2 for binary vectors and modulo 4 for vectors over \mathbb{Z}_4).

Proof: From Proposition 2.4 we know that any additive Preparata-like code P partitions the corresponding additive extended 1-perfect code $C = P \cup P_4$. But Theorem 3.6 states that this code P is \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear. Now we want to show that for any i and j , the binary vector $b_{i,j} = \phi(q_i + q_j)$ belongs to P_4 , i.e. it can be used as a leader of the coset. As P has the minimal distance $d(P) = 6$, it is enough to consider the words of P of weight 6 only. To the contrary, assume that for some $p \in P$ of weight 6 we have $d(p, b_{i,j}) = 2$. Clearly this can happen only, if $\phi^{-1}(p)$ contains elements 2 in both i -th and j -th positions. But in this case the vector $\phi(2\phi^{-1}(p))$, which belongs to P , will have the weight 4, i.e. a contradiction. Thus, any vector $b_{i,j}$ belongs to P_4 and $P \star b_{i,j} = P + b_{i,j}$ is a coset of P of weight 4, which, according to Proposition 2.4, belongs to C .

Now for any fixed i and for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, (n+1)/2$, the cosets $P + b_{i,j}$ are all disjoint and

$$C \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{(n+1)/2} \{P + b_{i,j}\}.$$

Finally, by cardinality argument we obtain the statement. ■

Corollary 3.8 *Let P be an extended \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Preparata-like code, and let $C = P \cup P_4$. Then $2\mathcal{P} = 2\mathcal{C}$.*

Proof: From Theorem 3.7 above we have that any $c \in C$ can be presented in the form $c = p + \phi(q_i + q_j)$ for some $p \in P$ and i and j . In quaternary notation this means that $\phi^{-1}(c) = \phi^{-1}(p) + q_i + q_j$. Multiplying by 2 we obtain

$$2\phi^{-1}(c) = 2\phi^{-1}(p) + 2(q_i + q_j) = 2\phi^{-1}(p).$$

■

From [17] we know that any Preparata-like code P has the vector $\mathbf{1}_2 = \phi(\mathbf{2})$. For \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear codes we can say much more. We will see in the next propositions that, after a binary coordinate permutation, the quaternary all ones vector $\mathbf{1}$ is contained, simultaneously, in \mathcal{P} , \mathcal{K} , \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{H} .

First we are going to see whether we have in these codes elements v , which have the associated permutation $\pi_v = \sigma$.

Lemma 3.9 *Let P be an extended \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Preparata-like code of length $n+1 = 2^{2m}$ and let K be its \mathbb{Z}_4 -dual. Assume $m > 2$, $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{K}$ and let $x \in \mathcal{K}$ be any vector with a coordinates 1, b coordinates 2, c coordinates 3 and d coordinates 0. Then $a + 2b + 3c \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof: Since the length of binary code K is $n+1$ we can write $a+b+c+d = n+1$.

It is well known (see [16]) that vectors in K have their weights restricted to five possibilities, exactly, 0 , $2^{2m-1} - 2^{m-1}$, 2^{2m-1} , $2^{2m-1} + 2^{m-1}$ and 2^{2m} . Note that for $m > 2$ all these weights are multiples of 4.

Let $x \in \mathcal{K}$ any vector with a coordinates 1, b coordinates 2, c coordinates 3 and d coordinates 0. It is easy to compute the weights of some vectors related to $\phi^{-1}(x)$. Vector $\phi^{-1}(x)$ has weight $a + 2b + c$, vector $\phi^{-1}(x + x)$ has weight $2a + 2c$, vector $\phi^{-1}(x + 1)$ has weight $2a + b + d$ and vector $\phi^{-1}(x - 1)$ has weight $2c + b + d$.

We see that $\text{wt}(\phi^{-1}(x + 1)) + \text{wt}(\phi^{-1}(x - 1)) = 2(n + 1)$ so, looking at the weights in K , we have two possibilities, either $\text{wt}(\phi^{-1}(x + 1)) - \text{wt}(\phi^{-1}(x - 1)) = 0$ or $\text{wt}(\phi^{-1}(x + 1)) - \text{wt}(\phi^{-1}(x - 1)) = \pm 2^m$. In the first case $2a = 2c$ and in the second one $2a - 2c = \pm 2^m$. In all the cases for $m > 2$ we have $a \equiv c \pmod{4}$ or, the same $a + 3c \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Hence, to finish the proof of this lemma, we only need to show that $2b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Since K is a \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear code, vector $\phi(x + x)$ belongs to K , but also to H (see Corollary 3.8). The possible weights in H are even more restrictive than in K , in fact there are only three possibilities: 0 , 2^{2m-1} , and 2^{2m} (see reference in [11]). In any case we can conclude that, for $m > 2$, the weight of vector $\phi(x + x)$ is a multiple of eight and, hence, $a + c \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. But, for $m > 2$, the weight of vector $\phi(x)$, like the other vectors in K , is a multiple of 4 and so $2b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, which finishes our proof. ■

Proposition 3.10 *Let P be an extended \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Preparata-like code, let C be the corresponding extended 1-perfect code and let K and H be their \mathbb{Z}_4 -duals. Then there exists a vector $v \in H$ such that $\pi_v = \sigma$. This vector v also belongs to C and to K .*

Proof: In Theorem 3.7 we have seen that the \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear span of vectors $v_{ij} = q_i + q_j$ is contained in C . According to the remarkable Lloyd Theorem

([11], Chapter 6, Theorem 28), all the nonzero codewords in C^\perp , apart from vector $\mathbf{1}_2$, have the weight equal to half the length, namely $(n+1)/2 = 2^{2m-1}$. Moreover, following [10] or [3], for any $m > 2$ there exists in H some vector v with $\pi_v \neq id$. Vector $\phi^{-1}(v)$ could have even (i.e. containing 0 and 2) and odd (containing 1 and 3) coordinates. Assume that $\phi^{-1}(v)$ has even and odd coordinates. Let i be one of the odd coordinates and let j be one of the even coordinates. But this is not possible because we can take vector $q_i + q_j \in \mathcal{C}$ (see Theorem 3.7), then we will have that $(\phi^{-1}(v), (q_i + q_j))_4 \neq 0$ and therefore $v \notin H$. Hence, the only possibility is that vector $\phi^{-1}(v)$ has only odd coordinates, which implies that $\pi_v = \sigma$.

For the second part of our proposition assume that this claimed vector v does not belong to C . Then there will exist a vector $w \in C$ at distance at most two from v . Hence, there are two possibilities: either $\phi^{-1}(w) = \phi^{-1}(v) + q_i$ for some q_i or $w = v + e_i + e_j$ for some unit binary vectors e_i, e_j . For the first case $0 = (\phi^{-1}(w), \phi^{-1}(v))_4 = (\phi^{-1}(v), \phi^{-1}(v))_4 + 2 \equiv 2^{2m-1} + 2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ which is impossible. For the second case we have $\phi^{-1}(w) + q_i + q_k \in \mathcal{P}$ for some index k , and, since \mathcal{P} is \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear, $\phi^{-1}(w) + \phi^{-1}(w) + \phi^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_2)$ is in \mathcal{P} , but $\phi^{-1}(w) + \phi^{-1}(w) + \phi^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_2) = q_i + q_j$ and this is impossible because the minimum weight in \mathcal{P} is 6.

Hence, we have a vector $v \in C$ such that $\pi_v = \sigma$ and also $v \in H \subset K$. ■

Corollary 3.11 *After a permutation of binary coordinates vector $\phi(\mathbf{1})$ belongs to C , H , \mathcal{P} and K .*

Proof: Vector $\phi^{-1}(v)$ in Proposition 3.10 has all the quaternary coordinates 1 or 3. Changing value 3 to 1 is a permutation on the two binary coordinates composing the quaternary component we are treating. Hence, after an appropriate coordinate's permutation, we can assure vector $\phi(\mathbf{1})$ belongs to C , H , and K .

Finally, assuming that $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{K}$, let $x \in \mathcal{K}$ any vector with a coordinates 1, b coordinates 2, c coordinates 3 and d coordinates 0. The condition that vector $\phi(\mathbf{1})$ belongs to P is equivalent to the condition $a + 2b + 3c = 0 \pmod{4}$, but this is true after Lemma 3.9. ■

4 The rank of \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Preparata-like codes and their dual, \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Kerdock-like codes.

Now, we will assume that P is a \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear code (and so C too). We will see that not all the possible ranks are allowable.

Lemma 4.1 *No codeword of C of weight 6 is fixed by σ .*

Proof: In contrary, assume that $x = e_a + e_{\sigma(a)} + e_b + e_{\sigma(b)} + e_c + e_{\sigma(c)}$ is a codeword. As the covering radius of C is equal to 2, the vector $y = e_a + e_b + e_c$ must be at distance 1 from a codeword z of weight 4. If $z = e_a + e_b + e_c + e_d$ where $d \in \{\sigma(a), \sigma(b), \sigma(c)\}$, then the codeword $z \star z$ has weight 4 but $d(x, z \star z) = 2$ and we get a contradiction. If $d \notin \{\sigma(a), \sigma(b), \sigma(c)\}$, then $z \star z$ has weight 8, but again $d(x, z \star z) = 2$ and thus x is not a codeword of C , i.e. we obtain a contradiction. ■

Lemma 4.2 *All words of weight 4 fixed by σ are in C .*

Proof: Let v be the vector with support as a quadruple $\{a, \sigma(a), b, \sigma(b)\}$, where $a, b \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$. The vector v is in the extended code C or it is at the distance 2 from the unique codeword of the extended Preparata code P . This should be w , with support $\{a, \sigma(a), b, \sigma(b), x, y\}$. However because σ is an automorphism of P (in fact, $\sigma(z) = z^{-1}$ for any $z \in P$), for w be unique we should have $y = \sigma(x)$. But this is not possible by the previous lemma. Therefore v is a codeword of C . ■

Proposition 4.3 *Let C be the extended 1-perfect code corresponding to an extended \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Preparata-like code P , of length $n + 1 = 2^{2m}$. Then C is the kernel of a group homomorphism θ from \mathbb{F}^{n+1} onto $\mathbb{Z}_2^\gamma \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\delta$, where $\gamma + 2\delta = 2m + 1$ and $\delta = 1$.*

Proof: Given an extended 1-perfect \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear code C of length $n + 1 = 2^{2m}$, we can consider the quaternary code \mathcal{C} as a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_4^{(n+1)/2}$. The quotient group $\mathbb{Z}_4^{(n+1)/2}/\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2^\gamma \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\delta$, where $\gamma + 2\delta = 2m + 1$ since the number of cosets is equal to

$$\frac{|\mathbb{Z}_4^{(n+1)/2}|}{|\mathcal{C}|} = \frac{2^{2^{2m}}}{2^{2^{2m}-2m-1}} = 2^{2m+1}.$$

Code \mathcal{C} can be seen as the kernel of a group homomorphism θ from $\mathbb{Z}_4^{(n+1)/2}$ onto $\mathbb{Z}_2^\gamma \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\delta$.

By Lemma 4.2, all cosets of weight 2 are of order 2, and there are n of such cosets. Moreover, there are $n + 1$ cosets of weight one and also one coset of weight zero and there are no more cosets with other weights. On the other hand, in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\gamma \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\delta$, apart from zero, there are $2^{\gamma+\delta} - 1$ elements of order two (those with 0 or 2 in the quaternary part) and the rest ($2^{\gamma+2\delta} - 2^{\gamma+\delta}$) are elements of order four. Hence, equaling the quantity of order two elements, we have $2^{\gamma+\delta} - 1 = n = 2^{2m} - 1$, but $\gamma + 2\delta = 2m + 1$, so $\delta = 1$ and $\gamma = 2m - 1$.

■

Corollary 4.4 *Quaternary code \mathcal{H} is generated as a \mathbb{Z}_4 -modulo by vector $\mathbf{1}$ and vectors of type $2x$.*

Proof: From the above lemma, code \mathcal{H} , the \mathbb{Z}_4 -dual of \mathcal{C} , can be seen as a code generated by γ vectors of type $2x$ (i.e., all the quaternary coordinates of such vectors are equal to 0 or 2) and $\delta = 1$ vector which is not of type $2x$. But we know that vector $\mathbf{1}$ is in \mathcal{H} (see Corollary 3.11), then we conclude that the \mathbb{Z}_4 generators of \mathcal{C}^\perp are vector $\mathbf{1}$ and γ vectors of type $2x$. ■

Lemma 4.5 *Let γ and δ be such that the quotient set \mathbb{F}^{n+1}/C is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2^\gamma \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\delta$. Let $\theta : \mathbb{F}^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^\gamma \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\delta$ be the homomorphism such that $C = \text{Ker } \theta$ and let $\tau : \mathbb{Z}_2^\gamma \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\delta \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^{\gamma+\delta}$ be the map defined as*

$$\tau(x_1, \dots, x_\gamma \mid y_1, \dots, y_\delta) = (x_1, \dots, x_\gamma \mid y_1 \pmod{2}, \dots, y_\delta \pmod{2})$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_\gamma \mid y_1, \dots, y_\delta) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\gamma \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\delta$. Then the map $\psi = \tau \cdot \theta$

$$\psi : \mathbb{F}^{n+1} \cong \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2^\gamma \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\delta \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbb{Z}_2^\gamma \times \mathbb{Z}_2^\delta \cong \mathbb{F}^{\gamma+\delta},$$

is a linear map from \mathbb{F}^{n+1} to $\mathbb{F}^{\gamma+\delta}$.

Proof: Let π be any involution involving the two coordinates in some \mathbb{Z}_4 . Let these coordinates be e_i and e_{i+1} . Take $v \in \mathbb{F}^{n+1}$ and note that $\pi(v) = v$ or $\pi(v) = v \star e_i \star e_i$. Then in both cases $\tau \cdot \theta(\pi(v)) = \tau \cdot \theta(v)$.

We can generalize this observation by taking any permutation π_w associated to vector w . We know permutation π_w is a composition of permutations like π , so

$$\tau \cdot \theta(\pi_w(v)) = \tau \cdot \theta(v). \quad (2)$$

Now we recall the connection of our two operations “+” and “ \star ”. For any $w, v \in (\mathbb{F}^{n+1}, \star)$ having in mind that π_w always has the order 2, we obtain that

$$w + v = w \star \pi_w(v) \quad \text{and} \quad w \star v = w + \pi_w(v). \quad (3)$$

It is easy to see that τ is a linear map, i.e. for any $w, v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\gamma \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\delta$ we have

$$\tau(w + v) = \tau(w) + \tau(v). \quad (4)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(w + v) &= \tau \cdot \theta(w + v) && \text{(by definition of } \psi) \\
&= \tau \cdot \theta(w \star \pi_w(v)) && \text{(by(3))} \\
&= \tau \cdot (\theta(w) + \theta(\pi_w(v))) && \text{(by the property of homomorphism)} \\
&= \tau \cdot \theta(w) + \tau \cdot \theta(\pi_w(v)) && \text{(by(4))} \\
&= \tau \cdot \theta(w) + \tau \cdot \theta(v) && \text{(by(2))} \\
&= \psi(w) + \psi(v) && \text{(by definition of } \psi).
\end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 4.6 *Let C be an extended perfect \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear code. If the length of C is $n + 1 = 2^{2m} \geq 16$, then $\text{rank}(C) = 2^{2m} - 2m$ for $m > 2$ and $\text{rank}(C) = 2^{2m} - 2m - 1$ for $m = 2$.*

Proof: From Proposition 4.3 we know that $\delta = 1$. Consider the following map taking into account Lemma 4.5:

$$\mathbb{F}^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2^{2m-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbb{F}^{2m}.$$

Since $\psi = \tau \cdot \theta$ is a linear map, we have that $\ker(\psi)$ is a linear space. Thus $\langle C \rangle$ is contained in $\ker(\psi)$ and $\dim \langle C \rangle \leq \dim(\ker(\psi)) = 2^{2m} - 2m$. On the other hand, $\dim \langle C \rangle$ is at least $2^{2m} - 2m - 1$ which is the dimension of an extended 1-perfect linear code of length $n + 1 = 2^{2m}$. As can be seen in [8], an extended Hamming code of length greater than 8 is \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear if and only if the length is 16. Hence, the result follows. ■

Corollary 4.7 *The rank of an extended \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Preparata-like code P of length 2^{2m} is equal to $\text{rank}(P) = 2^{2m} - 2m$ for $m > 2$ and $\text{rank}(P) = 2^{2m} - 2m - 1$ for $m = 2$.*

Proof: Straightforward from Proposition 2.2 and the last theorem. ■

Theorem 4.8 *The rank of \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Kerdock-like code K of length $n + 1 = 2^{2m}$ is equal to $\text{rank}(K) = 2m^2 + m + 1$.*

Proof: The code which is the \mathbb{Z}_4 -dual of Preparata-like code is a Kerdock-like code [8]. From the result which we prove in the next section (see Theorem 5.11), any such code K of length $n + 1 = 2^{2m}$ have the kernel of dimension $2m + 1$. This means that K is a union of $(n + 1)/2$ cosets of linear code $\ker(K)$.

As P is a subset of the corresponding \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear code C , we conclude, therefore, that $H \subseteq K$. But, according to Lloyd Theorem ([11], Chapter 6, Theorem 28), all the nonzero codewords of H different from $\mathbf{1}_2$ have the weight $(n + 1)/2$. Therefore, the linear subcode of K has the parameters $n + 1 = 2^{2m}$, $d = (n + 1)/2$ and the dimension $k = 2m + 1$, i.e. the parameters of the Reed-Muller code $\text{RM}(1, 2m)$ of the first order. As this code is unique in the class of linear binary codes, we deduce that the linear subcode of K is exactly $\text{RM}(1, 2m)$ code.

Thus, K is the union of $(n + 1)/2$ cosets of $\text{RM}(1, 2m)$. One of this coset is the code $\text{RM}(1, 2m)$ itself. It is also known due to [5], that for any such Kerdock code the leaders of the $(n + 1)/2 - 1$ cosets of $\text{RM}(1, 2m)$ form the so called Kerdock set of quadratic forms (these forms have the maximal ranks; they are also called maximal nonlinear bent functions [11]). Any such Kerdock set defines the Kerdock-like code, which is a subcode of the Reed-Muller code $\text{RM}(2, 2m)$, which has the dimension

$$1 + 2m + \binom{2m}{2} = 2m^2 + m + 1.$$

It is also known ([11], Chapter 15, Problem 12), that any such Kerdock-like code generates this code $\text{RM}(2, 2m)$. We conclude, therefore, that $\text{rank}(K) = 2m^2 + m + 1$. ■

5 The kernel of \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Preparata-like codes and their dual, \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Kerdock-like codes.

After computing the rank of \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Preparata-like codes and its dual, \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Kerdock-like codes, we are interested in computing the kernel as well.

Recall the definition of $D_\pi = \{z \in D \mid \pi_z = \pi\}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$ which is the permutation $\sigma = (a_1 a'_1) \cdots (a_\beta a'_\beta)$ composed by the product of all involutions involving the two coordinates in every \mathbb{Z}_4 component.

For any \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear code \mathcal{D} we have $\sigma \in \text{aut}(\mathcal{D})$ since for any $x \in \mathcal{D}$ we have $\sigma(x) = x \star x \star x \in \mathcal{D}$.

Lemma 5.1 *For all $x \in D$, the permutation π_x is in $\text{aut}(D)$ if and only if x is in the kernel $\ker(D)$.*

Proof: Recalling that C is a group with operation \star , and then using (3), we obtain

$$D = x \star D = x + \pi_x(D) = x + D,$$

which exactly means that the condition $x \in \ker(D)$ is equivalent to the condition $\pi_x \in \text{aut}(D)$. ■

Lemma 5.2 *Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$ be a \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear code and let D^\perp be its \mathbb{Z}_4 -dual, i.e. $D^\perp = \phi(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$. Then $v \in D$ is in $\ker(D)$, if and only if the following congruence:*

$$(\phi^{-1}(v) \bullet \phi^{-1}(c), \phi^{-1}(x))_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

is valid for all $c \in D$ and $x \in D^\perp$.

Proof: For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ it is easy to check that $\phi(a) + \phi(b) = \phi(a + b)$ if $a \in \{0, 2\}$ or $b \in \{0, 2\}$. But if $a, b \in \{1, 3\}$, then $\phi(a) + \phi(b) = \phi(a + b + 2)$. So we can summarize by giving the following equality:

$$\phi(a) + \phi(b) = \phi(a + b + 2ab).$$

Clearly this equality can be extended for the vectors over \mathbb{Z}_4 :

$$\phi(a) + \phi(b) = \phi(a + b + \mathbf{2} \bullet a \bullet b),$$

which can be rewritten for the binary vectors x, y as follows:

$$x + y = \phi(\phi^{-1}(x) + \phi^{-1}(y) + \mathbf{2} \bullet \phi^{-1}(x) \bullet \phi^{-1}(y)). \quad (5)$$

The vectors v from D are in the kernel of D are such that $v + c \in D$ for all $c \in D$. In other words, the vectors v are in kernel of D are such that $(\phi^{-1}(v + c), \phi^{-1}(x))_4 = 0$, for all $c \in D$ and $x \in D^\perp$. Using the equality (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi^{-1}(v + c), \phi^{-1}(x))_4 &= (\phi^{-1}(v) + \phi^{-1}(c) + \mathbf{2} \bullet \phi^{-1}(v) \bullet \phi^{-1}(c), \phi^{-1}(x))_4 \\ &= (\phi^{-1}(v) + \phi^{-1}(c), \phi^{-1}(x))_4 + (\mathbf{2} \bullet \phi^{-1}(v) \bullet \phi^{-1}(c), \phi^{-1}(x))_4. \end{aligned}$$

But $(\phi^{-1}(v) + \phi^{-1}(c), \phi^{-1}(x))_4 = 0$, so the vectors v in kernel of D are the vectors in D such that $(\phi^{-1}(v) \bullet \phi^{-1}(c), \phi^{-1}(x))_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

The inverse part follows similarly. ■

Lemma 5.3 *Let $D = \phi(\mathcal{D})$ be a \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear code such that there exists $u \in \mathcal{D}^\perp$ such that $\pi_u = \sigma$. Then the condition $v \in \ker(D)$ implies the condition $2\phi^{-1}(v) \in \mathcal{D}^\perp$, i.e.*

$$2\phi^{-1}(\ker(D)) \subseteq \mathcal{D}^\perp.$$

Proof: In contrary, assume that $v \in \ker(D)$ but $2\phi^{-1}(v) \notin \mathcal{D}^\perp$. This last condition means that there exists $x \in D$ such that $(2\phi^{-1}(v), \phi^{-1}(x))_4 \neq 0$. But

$$(2\phi^{-1}(v), \phi^{-1}(x))_4 = (2u \bullet \phi^{-1}(v), \phi^{-1}(x))_4 = 2(u \bullet \phi^{-1}(v), \phi^{-1}(x))_4$$

and, taking into account that $u \in \mathcal{D}^\perp$, we deduce by Lemma 5.2, that v does not belong to $\ker(D)$, i.e. a contradiction. ■

For the case of the extended 1-perfect \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear code C , it is possible to say more. Assume that $v \in C$ and $v \notin \ker(C)$. Then (see Lemma 5.2), for some $c \in C$ and some vector $x \in H = \phi(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$ we will have

$$(\phi^{-1}(v) \bullet \phi^{-1}(c), \phi^{-1}(x))_4 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \quad (6)$$

But all the vectors in \mathcal{C}^\perp are generated by vector $\phi^{-1}(x) = \mathbf{1}$ and vectors $\phi^{-1}(x) = 2y$ (see Corollary 4.4). Hence for the case $\phi^{-1}(x) = 2y$ we have $(\phi^{-1}(v) \bullet \phi^{-1}(c), \phi^{-1}(x))_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. The only possibility to fulfill the inequality (6) is when $\phi^{-1}(x) = \mathbf{1}$, so $(\phi^{-1}(v) \bullet \phi^{-1}(c), \mathbf{1})_4 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and therefore $(\phi^{-1}(v), \phi^{-1}(c))_4 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. This implies that $(2\phi^{-1}(v), \phi^{-1}(c))_4 \not\equiv 0$ and, hence, $2\phi^{-1}(v) \notin \mathcal{C}^\perp$.

Finally, as we know that a vector u such that $\pi_u = \sigma$ belongs to P, K, C and to H , we can write (see Lemma 5.3):

$$\left. \begin{aligned} 2\phi^{-1}(\ker(C)) &= \mathcal{H} \\ 2\phi^{-1}(\ker(H)) &\subseteq \mathcal{C} \\ 2\phi^{-1}(\ker(P)) &\subseteq \mathcal{K} \\ 2\phi^{-1}(\ker(K)) &\subseteq \mathcal{P}. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (7)$$

For Preparata and Kerdock codes we can say a little more.

Lemma 5.4 *Let P be any \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Preparata-like code, let C be the corresponding extended 1-perfect \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear code and let K and H be their \mathbb{Z}_4 -dual codes. Then*

$$2\phi^{-1}(\ker(P)) \subset \mathcal{H} \text{ and } 2\phi^{-1}(\ker(K)) \subset \mathcal{H}.$$

Proof: If $v \in \ker(P)$, from Lemma 5.2, we have that $(\phi^{-1}(v) \bullet \phi^{-1}(c), \phi^{-1}(x))_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for all $c \in P$ and $x \in K$. Clearly we can rewrite it as $(\phi^{-1}(v) \bullet \phi^{-1}(x), \phi^{-1}(c))_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Take $x \in K$ as the vector with $\pi_x = \sigma$ (see Lemma 3.11). Hence

$$(\phi^{-1}(v) \bullet \phi^{-1}(x), \phi^{-1}(c))_4 \equiv (\phi^{-1}(v), \phi^{-1}(c))_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

This implies that

$$(2\phi^{-1}(v), \phi^{-1}(c))_4 = (\phi^{-1}(v), 2\phi^{-1}(c))_4 = 0.$$

This last equality is satisfied for any $v \in \ker(P)$ and for any $c \in P$. But, by Corollary 3.8, we have $2\mathcal{P} = 2\mathcal{C}$. Therefore, this equality is fulfilled by all the vectors $x \in C$. We deduce finally, that $2\phi^{-1}(\ker(P)) \in \mathcal{H}$.

The second statement of the lemma follows by exactly the same arguments. ■

Lemma 5.5 *Let P be any \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Preparata-like code and let $C = P \cup P_4$. Then $\ker(P) \subset \ker(C)$.*

Proof: We only need to show that, if $x \in \ker(P)$, then $x \in \ker(C)$. If $x \in \ker(P)$ then, for all $v \in P$ we have $x + v \in P$. Take $w \in C$ and let $v \in P$ be such that $w = v \star a = v + \pi_v(a) = v + a$, where $a = \phi(q_1 + q_i)$ and vectors q_i are like in Theorem 3.7. Now, $x + w = x + v + a = (x + v) \star a \in P \star a \subset C$ and, hence, $x \in \ker(C)$. ■

Lemma 5.6 *Let P be any \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Preparata-like code of length $n+1 = 2^{2m}$. The linear code P_{id} of all the elements of P , the associated permutation of which is the identity, has dimension $2^{2m-1} - 2m$.*

Proof: The elements in P the associated permutation of which is the identity have in quaternary notation 2's in all the nonzero coordinates. But, according to Lemma 4.1, no codeword of weight 6 is fixed by σ . This means that these kind of elements have weight at least 8. That is to say, they have at least four coordinates with 2's in quaternary notation.

Let the vectors q_i over \mathbb{Z}_4 be as in Theorem 3.7. Take three such vectors, say q_i, q_j, q_k and recall (see the proof of Theorem 3.7) that one can see C as the union of P with cosets $P \star \phi(q_i + q_s)$ (for all $s = 1, 2, \dots, (n+1)/2$). Now as C is \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear, $(P \star \phi(q_i + q_j)) \star (P \star \phi(q_i + q_k))$ is a coset, say $P \star \phi(q_i + q_r)$

for some r . This means that $\phi(q_i + q_j + q_k + q_r) \in P$ and that given q_i, q_j, q_k , there exists a unique q_r , such that $\phi(q_i + q_j + q_k + q_r) \in P$. Hence these 4-tuples $\{q_i, q_j, q_k, q_r\}$ of vectors compose a Steiner Quadruple System (SQS) $S((n+1)/2, 4, 3)$ on 2^{2m-1} quaternary coordinates.

Now we can think of the vectors of P_{id} as binary vectors in $(n+1)/2 = 2^{2m-1}$ coordinates, where the coordinate is 1 or 0 depending on q_i is in the support of this vector, or not. Using this binary representation of P_{id} we get a binary linear code of minimum weight 4 and such that the vectors of this minimum weight forms a $S((n+1)/2, 4, 3)$. Now according to the well known result due to Assmus and Mattson ([11], Chapter 6, Problem (14)), the corresponding linear code is a binary extended 1-perfect code of length $(n+1)/2$. Hence, the binary representation of P_{id} is this linear code and so $\dim(P_{id}) = 2^{2m-1} - (2m-1) - 1 = 2^{2m-1} - 2m$. ■

Lemma 5.7 *Let $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{H}$ be the quaternary all ones vector. Then $\langle K_{id}, \phi(\mathbf{1}) \rangle = H$.*

Proof: The elements v in K_{id} are the elements $v \in K$ with the identity as a associated permutation π_v . This means they have in the quaternary notation the element 2 in all the nonzero coordinates, in other words, $\phi^{-1}(v) = 2\phi^{-1}(w)$ for some w . Moreover, we have that $(\phi^{-1}(v), \phi^{-1}(x))_4 = 0$ for all $x \in P$. But $2\mathcal{C} = 2\mathcal{P}$ (see Theorem 3.7). Hence $(\phi^{-1}(w), 2\phi^{-1}(x))_4 = (2\phi^{-1}(w), \phi^{-1}(x))_4 = 0$ for all $x \in C$, and therefore $2\phi^{-1}(w) = \phi^{-1}(v)$ belongs to \mathcal{H} , which means that $K_{id} \subset H$.

Now let $v \in H$ and assume $\pi_v \neq \sigma$. So $\phi^{-1}(v) = 2\phi^{-1}(w)$ for some vector $w \in \mathbb{Z}_4^{2^{2m-1}}$ (see Corollary 4.4). We will have $(\phi^{-1}(v), \phi^{-1}(x))_4 = 0$ for all $x \in C$. But $x = p \star \phi(q_1 + q_i)$ for some q_i and $p \in P$ (see Theorem 3.7).

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= (\phi^{-1}(v), \phi^{-1}(x))_4 \\
&= (\phi^{-1}(v), \phi^{-1}(p + q_1 + q_i))_4 \\
&= (\phi^{-1}(v), \phi^{-1}(p))_4 + (\phi^{-1}(v), \phi^{-1}(q_1 + q_i))_4 \\
&= (\phi^{-1}(v), \phi^{-1}(p))_4
\end{aligned}$$

and, so, $v \in K$ and therefore $v \in K_{id}$. ■

Corollary 5.8 *Let K be any \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Kerdock-like code. The linear code K_{id} of all the elements in K the associated permutation of which is the identity has dimension $2m$.*

Proof: From Lemma 5.7 we have, that $\langle K_{id}, \phi(\mathbf{1}) \rangle = H$. As $\phi(\mathbf{2})$ belongs to H and therefore $\phi(\mathbf{2})$ belongs to K_{id} by Lemma 5.7 again, we deduce that $\dim(K_{id}) = \dim(H) - 1 = 2m$. ■

Lemma 5.9 *Let π_v be the permutation associated with vector v . Then $\pi_v \in \text{aut}(K)$, if and only if $\pi_v \in \text{aut}(P)$.*

Proof: For a \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear code the permutation π_v of binary coordinate means in quaternary notation the identity or a sign change in some quaternary coordinates and this permutation depends only on v , so for any vector $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$ over \mathbb{Z}_4 we have $\pi_v(a) = (\pm a_1, \pm a_2, \dots)$.

For all the elements $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $w \in \mathcal{K}$ compute the quaternary inner product $(p, w)_4$. Using the quaternary interpretation of π_v , observe that $(\pi_v(p), w)_4 = (p, \pi_v(w))_4$. The statement follows. ■

Lemma 5.10 *Let P be any \mathbb{Z}_4 linear Preparata-like code of length $n + 1 = 2^{2m}$. Let v and w two binary vectors with associated permutation, respectively, π_v and π_w . Let A and B be the sets of binary coordinates moved, respectively, by π_v and π_w and assume that $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $|A \cup B| = n + 1$. Then π_v or π_w are not in $\text{aut}(P)$.*

Proof: Fix two binary coordinates $a, c \in A$ and consider $b = \pi_v(a) \in A$. The binary codewords of weight 6 of an extended Preparata code P form a 3-design [14], so starting from a, b, c we can find $(2^{2m} - 4)/3$ triples which jointed to a, b, c gives us codewords of P . It is not possible that all these triples are in A , so let e, f, g one of the other triples. Let p be the codeword of P of weight 6 with the support $\text{supp}(p) = \{a, b, c, e, f, g\}$.

If we assume $e, f, g \in B$ we have $d(p, \pi_v(p)) = 2$.

If we assume that two coordinates $e, f \in B$ we have $d(p, \pi_v(p)) = 4$.

If we assume that only one coordinate $e \in B$ we have $d(p, \pi_w(p)) = 2$.

In any case, π_v or π_w could not be an automorphism of P . ■

Theorem 5.11 *Let P be any \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Preparata-like code of length $n + 1 = 2^{2m}$ and let K be its \mathbb{Z}_4 -dual. Then $\dim(\ker(P)) = 2^{2m-1} - 2m + 1$ and $\dim(\ker(K)) = 2m + 1$.*

Proof: From Lemma 3.11 we know that $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{P}$. The associated permutation to $\phi^{-1}(\mathbf{1})$ is σ and, from Lemma 5.1 $\phi(\mathbf{1}) \in \ker(P)$. Now we want to show, that apart from this vector $\phi(\mathbf{1}) \in P$, there is no other vector in $\ker(P)$ such that the associated permutation be different of the identity. To the contrary, assume that there is some $v \in \ker(P)$ such that $\pi_v \neq \sigma$ and $\pi_v \neq id$.

Apart from the vectors from P_{id} and the vector $\phi^{-1}(\mathbf{1}) \in P$, the elements v of $\ker(P)$ are such that $2\phi^{-1}(v) \in \mathcal{H}$ (see Lemma 5.4). So $2\phi^{-1}(v)$ has exactly $(n + 1)/4$ odd quaternary coordinates, and therefore π_v moves half of the binary coordinates, namely $(n + 1)/2 = 2^{2m-1}$. Denote by A this set of binary coordinates.

Let $w \in P$ the vector such that $\phi^{-1}(w) = \mathbf{1} + \phi^{-1}(v)$. Applying Lemma 5.2 observe w is also in the kernel like v . Permutation π_w , like the permutation π_v , moves half of the coordinates, exactly 2^{2m-1} . Denote by B this set of coordinates.

By definition, the positions of the elements 1 and 3 in $\phi^{-1}(v)$ differ from the positions of 1 and 3 in $\phi^{-1}(w)$. We deduce, that $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and also $|A \cup B| = n + 1 = 2^{2m}$. Now applying Lemmas 5.1 and 5.10 we conclude that v (and w) are not in $\ker(P)$ and this contradicts our assumption.

The same for the second statement of the theorem. In this case, we want to show, that apart from vector $\phi(\mathbf{1}) \in K$, there is no other vector in $\ker(K)$ such that the associated permutation be different of the identity. In contrary, if we assume that there is some $v \in \ker(P)$ such that $\pi_v \neq \sigma$ and $\pi_v \neq id$ we find sets A and B containing, respectively, all the binary coordinates moved by π_v and π_w , where $w = \phi(\mathbf{1}) \star v$. These sets fulfill the requirements in Lemma 5.10 and after Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.9 we conclude that v and w are not in $\ker(K)$ which contradicts our assumption. ■

6 Conclusions

The standard Preparata code has a propelinear structure but it is not additive. For the case of additive Preparata-like codes we have seen that the only possibility is that of the extended \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Preparata-like codes. In this case, we have computed the rank and the dimension of the kernel for these codes and their duals.

As a summary we have the following table:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dim(\ker(P)) &= 2^{2m-1} - 2m + 1 \\
 \dim(\ker(K)) &= 2m + 1 \\
 \text{rank}(P) &= 2^{2m} - 2m \quad (\text{and } 2^{2m} - 2m - 1 \quad \text{for } m = 2) \\
 \text{rank}(K) &= 2m^2 + m + 1
 \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] R.D. Baker, J.H. van Lint, R.M. Wilson, “On the Preparata and Goethals codes”, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 29, n. 3, pp. 342-345, May 1983.
- [2] J. Borges and J. Rifà, “A characterization of 1-perfect additive codes”, *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 45, pp. 1688-1697, 1999.
- [3] J. Borges, K.T. Phelps, J. Rifa, “Extended 1-perfect additive codes”, Technical Report Pirdi n.01/2002, Autonomous University of Barcelona, Spain. May 2002. Available: <http://pirdi.uab.es>.
- [4] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen and A. Neumaier, *Distance Regular Graphs*. Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [5] P. Delsarte, J.-M. Goethals, “Alternating bilinear forms over $GF(q)$ ”, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, 19-A, pp. 26-50, 1975.
- [6] I.I. Dumer, “Some new uniformly packed codes”, Proc. of Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, pp. 72-78. Moscow, 1976.
- [7] J.M. Goethals and S.L. Snover, “Nearly perfect binary codes”, *Discrete Math.*, vol. 3, pp. 65-88, 1972.
- [8] A.R. Hammons, P.V. Kumar, A.R. Calderbank, N.J.A. Sloane and P. Solé, “The \mathbb{Z}_4 -linearity of kerdock, preparata, goethals and related codes,” *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 40, pp. 301-319, 1994.
- [9] T. Helleseth, V.A. Zinoviev, “On nonlinear codes from linear codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 and their cosets”, 2001, under submission.
- [10] D.S. Krotov, “ \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard and extended perfect codes”, *Procs. of the International Workshop on Coding and Cryptography*, Jan. 8-12, 2001, Paris (France), pp. 329-334.

- [11] F.J. MacWilliams and N.J.A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*. North-Holland Publishing Company, 1977.
- [12] F.P. Preparata, "A class of optimum nonlinear double-error correcting codes," *Inform. and Control*, vol. 13, pp. 378-400, 1968.
- [13] J. Rifà and J. Pujol, "Translation invariant propelinear codes," *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol. 43, pp. 590-598, 1997.
- [14] N.V. Semakov and V.A. Zinoviev, "Balanced codes and tactical configurations," *Problems Inform. Transmission*, vol. 5, pp. 22-28, 1969.
- [15] N.V. Semakov, V.A. Zinoviev and G.V. Zaitsev, "Uniformly packed codes," *Problems Inform. Transmission*, vol. 7, pp. 30-39, 1971.
- [16] N.V. Semakov, V.A. Zinoviev and G.V. Zaitsev, "On duality of Preparata and Kerdock codes", in: Fifth All-Union Conf. on Coding Theory, Moscow-Gorkyi, 1972, part 2, pp. 55-58 (in Russian).
- [17] N.V. Semakov, V.A. Zinoviev and G.V. Zaitsev, "Interrelation of Preparata and Hamming codes and extension of Hamming codes to new double-error-correcting codes," *Proc. 2nd Internat. Sympos. Inform. Theory*, Tsakhadsor, Armenia, 1971, Academiai Kiado, Budapest, 1973.